AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method of removing a particle from a surface of a metal plug formed in a via comprising:

introducing a first agent to a metal layer;

polishing the metal layer with the first agent; and

after polishing the metal layer, introducing <u>rinsing a surface of the metal plug</u> with a second agent comprising hydrogen peroxide to rinse the surface of the metal plug, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.; and

removing at least one particle from the surface of the metal plug.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein polishing the metal layer comprises polishing a metal material selected from the group consisting of tungsten and copper.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein polishing the metal layer comprises polishing with the first agent having an abrasive material selected from the group consisting of silica, alumina, zirconia, and ceria.

4. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein polishing comprises chemical mechanical polishing.

5. (Cancelled)

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein introducing the second agent comprises introducing a second agent of approximately 4% by volume or less of hydrogen peroxide.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising polishing the substrate with the second agent.

8. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein polishing the metal layer with the second agent includes polishing with a polisher operating at a polishing pressure approximately in the range of 0.5 to 2.0 psi.

9. (Currently Amended) A method of removing at least one particle from a surface of a metal plug disposed over a substrate comprising:

depositing a slurry onto a metal layer over the metal plug; polishing the metal layer; and

09/476,633

after polishing the metal layer, rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein polishing the metal layer comprises polishing a metal material selected from the group consisting of tungsten, copper, and aluminum.

11. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein depositing the slurry further comprises depositing a slurry having an abrasive material selected from the group consisting of silica, alumina, zirconia, and ceria.

12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein rinsing the metal plug occurs after polishing the metal layer and substrate.

13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein rinsing the metal plug comprises rinsing with the solution which comprises approximately 4% by volume or less of hydrogen peroxide.

14. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein polishing the metal layer includes removing the metal layer at a rate of approximately in the range of 40Å/minute to 80Å/minute.

15. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein polishing comprises chemical mechanical polishing.

16. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein rinsing occurs during polishing; and polishing comprises polishing with a polisher at a polishing pressure approximately in the range of 0.5 to 2.0 psi.

17. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein the metal layer is removed at a rate of 60Å/minute.

18. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

polishing a metal layer over a conductive plug with a slurry;

after polishing the metal layer, introducing a rinsing solution <u>through a polisher during</u> polishing or by spraying the rinsing solution onto the conductive plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug; and

the rinsing solution comprising hydrogen peroxide.

19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 18, further including polishing the metal layer with an abrasive material, wherein the rinsing solution is introduced after polishing of the substrate.

09/476,633

20. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein introducing a rinsing solution comprises introducing a rinsing solution of approximately 4% by volume or less of hydrogen peroxide.

21. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein introducing a rinsing solution occurs during polishing the metal layer in which a polishing pressure is used approximately in the range of 0.5 to 2.0 psi.

22. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein a metal layer is removed at a rate of 60Å/minute.

23. (Currently Amended) A method of removing a particle from a surface of a metal plug formed in a via comprising:

introducing a first agent to a metal layer;

polishing the metal layer with the first agent; and

after polishing the metal layer, introducing a second agent consisting of <u>an aqueous solution</u> of hydrogen peroxide to rinse the surface of the metal plug, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

24. (Currently Amended) A method of removing at least one particle from a surface of a metal plug disposed over a substrate comprising:

depositing a slurry onto a metal layer over the metal plug;

polishing the metal layer; and

after polishing the metal layer, rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution consisting of <u>an aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide</u>, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the <u>surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug</u>.

25. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

polishing a metal layer over a conductive plug with a slurry;

after polishing the metal layer, introducing a rinsing solution onto the conductive plug, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the conductive plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug, the rinsing solution consisting of <u>an aqueous solution of</u> hydrogen peroxide.

26. (Currently Amended) A method of removing a particle from a surface of a metal plug formed in a via comprising:

introducing a first agent to a metal layer; polishing the metal layer with the first agent; and after polishing the metal layer, introducing a second agent consisting essentially of hydrogen peroxide to rinse the surface of the metal plug, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

27. (Currently Amended) A method of removing at least one particle from a surface of a metal plug disposed over a substrate comprising:

depositing a slurry onto a metal layer over the metal plug;

polishing the metal layer; and

after polishing the metal layer, rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution consisting essentially of hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

28. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

polishing a metal layer over a conductive plug with a slurry;

after polishing the metal layer, introducing a rinsing solution onto the conductive plug, the rinsing solution consisting essentially of hydrogen peroxide, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicants amend Claims 1, 9, 18 and 23-28. Applicants do not cancel or add any new claims. Accordingly, Claims 1-28 are pending.

I. <u>Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 112</u>

On appeal, the Patent Office maintained the rejection to Claims 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Applicants amend Claims 23-25 and respectfully submit that amended Claims 23-25 are supported by the specification. See, for example, the specification at page 8, lines 15-19.

II. <u>Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)</u>

The Patent Office rejects Claims 1-4, 7, 9-12, 15, 18, 19 and 26-28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,159,858 to Kishii, et al. ("<u>Kishii</u>"). Applicants amend Claims 1, 9, 18, 26-28.

Among other elements, amended independent Claim 1 defines a method of removing a particle from a surface of a metal plug comprising, introducing a second agent comprising hydrogen peroxide to rinse the surface of the metal plug, wherein the second agent is introduced through a polisher or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug.

In making the rejection, the Patent Office characterizes <u>Kishii</u> as showing a process of fabricating a semiconductor device wherein the process includes a polishing step followed by a cleaning step. <u>Decision</u>, page 7. The Patent Office finds that "any residue abrasive remaining in the substrate ...can be removed easily by an acid cleaning process." <u>Ibid.</u>, citing <u>Kishii</u> Col. 14, lines 53-59. <u>Ishii</u> describes that the acid cleaning process is conducted to dissolve remaining MnO_2 abrasive particles into various acids followed by a scrubbing process. <u>Kishii</u> Col. 9, lines 5-23. The process results in a water-soluble product that "clearly indicates the foregoing acid treatment dissolves the residual MnO_2 particles." <u>Kishii</u> Col. 9, line 26—Col. 10, line 7.

<u>Kishii</u> describes cleaning being done by immersing a test piece into a solution containing hydrogen peroxide which causes a chemical reaction that dissolves MnO_2 into the solution. Applicants understand dissolving, in this instance, to describe at least two chemical compounds being exposed to one another and, through a reaction, results in the MnO_2 being changed from solid form to liquid form and thus no longer adhering to the substrate.

In contrast, Claim 1 defines a method that rinses a metal plug by introducing an agent through a polisher during polishing or spraying a solution over the surface of a metal plug to drive at least one particle from the surface of the metal plug. Applicants respectfully submit that <u>Kishii</u>

6

does not teach rinsing being done by introducing a solution through a polisher during polishing or spraying a solution of the surface of the metal plug when MnO_2 is dissolved since the dissolution occurs after the test piece has been immersed in a solution. Immersing a test piece into a solution is not the same as introducing the solution during polishing nor is it the same as rinsing being done by spraying the surface.

Moreover, one skilled in the art would conclude that when a particle is dissolved from a surface, it is not driven from that surface since in order to "drive" something off of a surface, application of a force different from dissolution is required. Thus, <u>Kishii</u> describes MnO_2 being dissolved from a substrate, which is different from a particle being driven from the surface of a metal plug by spraying or polishing.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of independent Claim 1. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 depend from Claim 1 and are not anticipated at least for the same reasons Claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 2-4 and 6-8.

Amended independent Claim 9 defines a method comprising rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug similar to Claim 1. The discussion above regarding <u>Kishii</u>'s failure to teach similar elements of Claim 1 is equally applicable here. Therefore, claim 9 is not anticipated by <u>Kishii</u>. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 9.

Claims 10-12 and 15 depend from Claim 9 and are allowable at least for the same reasons as Claim 9. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 10-12 and 15.

Amended independent Claim 18 defines a method comprising rinsing the surface of a conductive plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the conductive plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the conductive plug similar to Claims 1 and 9. The discussion above regarding <u>Kishii</u>'s failure to teach similar elements in Claims 1 and 9 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claim 18 is not anticipated by <u>Kishii</u>. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 18.

Claim 19 depends from Claim 18 and is allowable at least for the same reasons as Claim 18. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 19.

Amended independent Claim 26 defines a method comprising rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug similar to Claims 1, 9 and 18. The discussion above regarding <u>Kishii</u>'s failure to teach similar

09/476,633

7

elements in Claims 1, 9 and 18 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claim 26 is not anticipated by <u>Kishii</u>. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 26.

Amended independent Claim 27 defines a method comprising rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug similar to Claims 1, 9, 18 and 26. The discussion above regarding Claims 1, 9, 18 and 26 on a similar limitation is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claim 27 is not anticipated by <u>Kishii</u>. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 27.

Amended independent Claim 28 defines a method comprising rinsing the surface of the metal plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug similar to Claims 1, 9, 18, 26 and 27. The discussion above regarding <u>Kishii</u>'s failure to teach similar elements in Claims 1, 9, 18, 26 and 27 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claim 28 is not anticipated by <u>Kishii</u>. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 28.

III. <u>Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)</u>

On appeal, the Patent Office maintained the rejection of Claims 6, 8, 13, 14, 17 and 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over <u>Kishii</u>. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. Applicants amend Claims 1, 9 and 18.

In order to render a claim obvious, the relied upon references must teach or suggest every limitation of the claim such that the invention as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one skilled in the art. In making the rejection, the Patent Office cites <u>Kishii</u> for teaching all of the limitations of these claims except "a second agent of approximately 4% by volume or less of hydrogen peroxide." <u>Decision</u>, page 8. The Patent Office cites <u>Kishii</u> for teaching 2% by volume of hydrogen peroxide and states that 2% by volume meets the necessary requirements. <u>Ibid</u>.

Claims 6 and 8 depend from independent Claim 1 and contain all of the limitations thereof. Thus, the discussion above regarding Claim 1 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claims 6 and 8 are not obvious <u>Kishii</u> since <u>Kishii</u> fails to teach or suggest at least a second agent is introduced through a polisher during polishing or sprayed over the surface of the metal plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 6 and 8.

Claims 13, 14 and 17 depend from independent Claim 9 and contain all of the limitations thereof. Thus, the discussion above regarding Claim 9 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claims 13, 14 and 17 are not obvious <u>Kishii</u> since <u>Kishii</u> fails to teach or suggest at least rinsing the

8

surface of a conductive plug with a solution comprising hydrogen peroxide, wherein rinsing is spraying the solution over the surface of the conductive plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the conductive plug. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 13, 14 and 17.

Claims 20-22 depend from independent Claim 18 and contain all of the limitations thereof. Thus, the discussion above regarding Claim 18 is equally applicable here. Therefore, Claims 20-22 are not obvious <u>Kishii</u> since <u>Kishii</u> fails to teach or suggest at least introducing a rinsing solution through a polisher during polishing or by spraying the rinsing solution onto the conductive plug to drive at least one particle off the surface of the metal plug. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 20-22.

•

•

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending (1) are in proper form, (2) are neither obvious nor anticipated by the relied upon art of record, and (3) are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would be useful in moving the application forward to allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17, particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated:

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (310) 207-3800

By: William Thomas Babbitt; Reg. No. 39,591

CERTIFICATE_OF_MAILING: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope with sufficient postage addressed to Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 10, 2003.

9/10/03 0 Nadya Gorda Date